UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

SHERYLL D. ESTES a/k/a Sheryll Downing a/k/a Sheryll Ford a/k/a Sheryll Bulkley f/d/b/a Dorothy Star Home Health LLC DEBTOR	CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 14-35216 (DISCHARGED - DEEMED CURRENT)
SHERYLL D. ESTES PLAINTIFF	
VS.	AP NO. (SEE FILE STAMP)
NEWREZ LLC, D/B/A SHELLPOINT MORTGAGE SERVICING, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO DITECH FINANCIAL LLC. DEFENDANT	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

CONCERNING WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE CONFIRMED CHAPTER
13 PLAN, THE DISCHARGE INJUNCTION, THE DEEM CURRENT
ORDER, FAILURE TO CREDIT PAYMENT RECEIVED UNDER
A CONFIRMED PLAN, AND RELATED RELIEF

TO: TO THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

THE PRESENT POSTURE OF THE BANKRUPTCY MAIN CASE

1. On September 24, 2014 the Debtor, Sheryll D. Estes ("Ms. Estes") filed her main case. (ECF 1)¹. The confirmed plan in the main case provided for conduit payments to her mortgage servicing company. On October 2, 2019 the Court entered

¹ "(ECF ____)", when used in this complaint is intended to represent the corresponding document as maintained by this Court on its ECF / PACER system in relation to the bankruptcy filed by Ms. Estes, Case No. 14-35216.

its order deeming her mortgage current in reliance on the mortgage servicing

company's response to the notice for final cure. (ECF 85). On December 16, 2019 the

Order Discharging Debtor was granted to Ms. Estes. (ECF 95).

2. The Bankruptcy Court retains jurisdiction to rule upon and enforce its

orders and provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and Rules.

THE PARTIES AND PROPERTY

3. Ms. Estes was the debtor in the discharged main case, and is the Plaintiff

in regard to this adversary proceeding. The homestead of Ms. Estes was included in

her main case wherein pre-petition arrearages and conduit payments were made.

4. NewRez, LLC, which is doing business as Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing

("Shellpoint"), is the successor in interest from a servicing standpoint for Ditech

Financial LLC. ("Ditech"). Ditech acquired the servicing of the loan post-petition by

merger with Green Tree Servicing ("Green Tree"). Shellpoint is the current mortgage

servicer in regard to Ms. Estes' homestead.

5. The homestead of Ms. Estes is identified as LOT 18, IN BLOCK 3, OF

CREEKSIDE VILLAGE, SECTION ONE (1), A SUBDIVISION IN MONTGOMERY

COUNTY, TEXAS, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN

CABINET X, SHEETS 122-128 OF THE MAP RECORDS OF MONTGOMERY

COUNTY, TEXAS, and commonly known as 28702 Little River Court, Spring, TX 77386

("home").

Page 2 of 25
Original Complaint
Estes vs. Shellpoint

Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 3 of 25

THE GRAVAMEN OF THE ADVERSARY PROCEEDING

6. Both Ms. Estes' mortgage arrearage and ongoing (conduit) payments on

her home mortgage were paid by the Chapter 13 Trustee to her mortgage servicing

company.

7. At the time Ms. Estes filed her main case, Green Tree was her servicing

company. During the main case, Green Tree merged with Ditech. Ditech remained the

servicing company throughout the remainder of Ms. Estes' main case, and immediately

after her discharge.

8. After the completion of the plan and pursuant to the deem current order,

Ms. Estes resumed paying her mortgage payments directly to the mortgage servicer.

However, Ditech unilaterally determined that Ms. Estes was behind in making her

mortgage payments. On information and belief Ditech created an arrearage by applying

post-deem current payments to pre-discharge sums that have been satisfied or

otherwise deemed current.

9. Shortly after Ditech's own liquidating bankruptcy in the Southern District of

New York, Shellpoint acquired the servicing rights to Ms. Estes mortgage beginning on

or about January 1, 2020.

Presumably, Shellpoint acquired all of the relevant records from Ditech as

to Ms. Estes' mortgage. Nonetheless, Shellpoint has continued to attempt to collect

sums satisfied by Ms. Estes' bankruptcy.

Page 3 of 25 Original Complaint 11. Further, before the transfer, Ditech submitted negative information to credit reporting services advising that Ms. Estes was behind post-discharge in making her mortgage payments. It is believed that Shellpoint is continuing with this practice.

THE JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT IN REGARD TO THE ADVERSARY PROCEEDING

12. This Court has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a) and 1334, as well as pursuant to the Southern District of Texas General Order 2012-6. Venue is proper for this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409, and for the reason that Ms. Estes' main case was filed in this Bankruptcy Court. This adversary proceeding constitutes a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157. For the reason that this adversary proceeding arises under alleged violations of 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 524, 1327, 1328, and/or the orders of this Court, bankruptcy provisions and rules, the subject matter of this adversary proceeding involves the "public rights". As such, this Bankruptcy Court has a right to issue a final judgment in this case in accordance with the exceptions in *Stern v. Marshall*, 564 U.S. 462 (2011). *Also see, In re DeRosa*, 544 B.R. 339, 362-364 (Bankr. S. D. Tex. 2016). Should it be necessary, Ms. Estes consents to the entry of a final order or judgment by this Court. *Wellness Int'l Network LTD. v. Sharif*, 135 S. Ct. 1932, 1947 (May 26, 2015). Also, *DeRosa* at 362-364.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND IN SUPPORT OF THE CAUSES OF ACTION STATED

13. On February 10, 2006 Ms. Estes, along with Kevin W. Estes, who was her husband at the time, entered into a Note with Ledge Mortgage, Inc. for the purchase of her home. Among other things the Note arranged the financing of \$146,261.00 at a

fixed interest rate of 6.25%. Principal and interest payments in the amount of \$900.55

were to commence on April 1, 2006 and thereafter each payment was due on the first

day of each month until March 1, 2036. The Note allows for the Lender to charge a late

fee in the amount of 4.000% of the overdue amount of each payment required by the

security instrument if the Lender has not received the full monthly payment by the end

of 15 calendar days after the payment is due.

14. On February 10, 2006 Ms. Estes and Kevin Estes entered into a Deed of

Trust to secure the Note. The Deed of Trust allows for the creation of an escrow

account.

15. On October 31, 2007 Ms. Estes and Kevin Estes were granted a divorce

by the 9th Judicial District Court in and for Montgomery County, Texas, Case No.

07-08-08470. Ms. Estes was awarded the home.

16. On October 20, 2009, as a condition of the divorce, Kevin Estes, as the

grantor, issued a Special Warranty Deed to Ms. Estes, as the grantee, which deeded

his marital interest in the home to her. The Deed was filed in the Montgomery County,

Texas property records on December 13, 2013, Document No. 2013132654.

17. On September 24, 2014 a Voluntary Petition was uploaded on behalf of

Ms. Estes in the main case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 301(a). (ECF 1). This petition

constituted an order for relief pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 301(b).

18. The commencement of the main case created a bankruptcy estate,

pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 541, which included the home.

Page 5 of 25 Original Complaint Estes vs. Shellpoint

- 19. On September 24, 2014 a list of creditors was uploaded on behalf of Ms. Estes in the main case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(1)(A) and F. R. Bankr. P. 1007(a)(1). (ECF 1). The Matrix included Green Tree at the following address 345 St. Peter St., 600, St. Poul² MN 55102 ("Green Tree address").
- 20. On September 24, 2014 Ms. Estes' bankruptcy schedules and statement of financial affairs were uploaded in the main case. (ECF 1). Therein:
 - a. Schedule A Real Property disclosed the home.
 - Schedule C Property Claimed as Exempt claimed the home exempt pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(1).
 - Schedule D Creditors Holding Secured Claims disclosed Green
 Tree with a secured claim and an arrearage claim.
 - d. Schedule J Your Expenses did not provide for direct ongoing payments on the home.
 - e. Statement of Financial Affairs No. 3 disclosed prior payments made to Green Tree.
- 21. On September 24, 2014 an original Uniform Plan and Motion for Valuation of Collateral and a Plan Summary and Statistical Cover Sheet to Proposed Plan was uploaded on behalf of Ms. Estes in the main case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 1321, 1322 and BLR 3015-1(a). (ECF 2). Therein, ¶4 Secured Claim for Claim Secured Only by a Security Interest in Real Property that is the Debtor(s)' Principal Residence (Property to

² Although the Matrix address stated "St. Poul" the United States Postal Service recognizes the address as being "St. Paul". https://tools.usps.com/zip-code-lookup.htm?byaddress

be Retained) - Provided for payments on the home to Green Tree for both the arrearage and on-going payments through the Trustee as the conduit.

- 22. On September 24, 2014 a Certificate of Counseling, wherein Ms. Estes certified that she received credit counseling on August 31, 2014, was uploaded in the main case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 521(b). (ECF 3).
- 23. On October 7, 2014 a Notice of Appearance was filed by Michael Zientz of the law firm Mackie Wolf Zientz & Mann, P.C., on behalf of Green Tree. (ECF 15). The address provided in this notice was Parkway Office Center, Suite 900, 14160 North Dallas Parkway, Dallas, TX 75254 ("Zientz address"). The email provided per this notice was mzientz@mwzmlaw.com ("Zientz email address").
- 24. On October 15, 2014 the Notice of Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case, Meeting of Creditors, & Deadlines was uploaded in the main case pursuant to F. R. Bankr. P. 2002(a)(1) and BLR 2002-1(c). (ECF 16). According to the BNC³ certificate of notice uploaded on October 17, 2014, the Notice was sent to Green Tree by electronic transmission, the Zientz address and the Zientz email address. (ECF 18).
- 25. On October 17, 2014 the Chapter 13 Trustee's Notice of Confirmation and Plan Summary was uploaded in the main case. (ECF 17). According to the BNC certificate of notice uploaded on October 19, 2014, the Notice and Plan Summary was sent to Green Tree by electronic transmission and the Zientz email address. (ECF 19).

Page 7 of 25
Original Complaint
Estes vs. Shellpoint

³ The Bankruptcy Noticing Center (BNC), established by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AOUSC), provides a centralized process for preparing, producing, and sending bankruptcy court notices by mail or electronic transmission. http://ebn.uscourts.gov/

Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 8 of 25

26. On November 7, 2014, the Meeting of Creditors was held in the main case

pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 341. Ms. Estes attended the meeting pursuant to the

requirement of BLR 2003-1(a). The meeting was concluded.

27. On December 1, 2014 an Assignment of Deed of Trust was efiled in the

Montgomery, Texas property records, Document No. 2014115374, to Mortgage

Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS) as nominee for Lodge Mortgage, Inc., It's

Successors and Assigns.

28. On December 8, 2014 the Certificate of Debtor Education was uploaded

on behalf of Ms. Estes. (ECF 27).

29. On December 15, 2014 an Amended Uniform Plan and Motion for

Valuation of Collateral was uploaded on behalf of Ms. Estes. There was no substantive

change to the treatment of Green Tree's secured claim on the home. (ECF 28).

30. On December 17, 2014 the Court entered its Order confirming Ms. Estes'

plan. (ECF 34 and 28). According to the BNC certificate of notice filed in the main case

on December 19, 2014, this order was sent to Green Tree via electronic notice and

Zeintz was notified at the Zeintz email address.

31. On January 12, 2015 Chelsea M. Schneider ("Schneider") of the law firm

Mackie Wolf Zientz & Mann, P.C. filed Proof of Claim 4-1 on behalf of Everbank as the

secured creditor on the home Note. ("POC 4-1). Separate notice and payment

addresses were provided for Green Tree in POC 4-1.

32. On February 18, 2015 Schneider, on behalf of Everbank, filed a Notice of

Postpetition Mortgage Fees, Expenses, and Charges. (ECF 37).

33. On February 26, 2015 the Trustee filed his Notice of Intent to Disburse

Adjusted Mortgage Payment. (ECF 38). The Trustee advised that he would slightly

decrease Ms. Estes' mortgage conduit payment from \$1,271.15 to \$1,270.03 in

compliance with POC 4-1. A copy of this notice was electronically sent to Zientz and

Schneider.

34. On April 13, 2015 the Trustee filed his Notice of Adjustment to Plan

Payment. (ECF 40). To provide for the Notice of Postpetition Mortgage Fees,

Expenses and Charges (ECF 37) Ms. Estes' plan payment was increased by \$12 to

\$1,762.00. According to the BNC certificate of notice uploaded on April 15, 2015 this

Notice was sent electronically to Zientz email address and to Schneider. (ECF 43).

35. Green Tree combined with Ditech to form Ditech effective on August 31,

2015. Ditech assumed the servicing of Ms. Estes' mortgage. No notice of transfer of

claim was filed pursuant to F. R. Bankr. P. 3001(e).

36. On September 29, 2015 Schneider, on behalf of Ditech, filed a Notice of

Mortgage Payment Change as it pertained to POC 4-1. (ECF 45). This notice advised

of an increase to Ms. Estes' escrow payment from \$369.47 to \$441.35 for a new total

conduit payment of \$1,341.91 to begin on November 1, 2015.

37. On November 2, 2015 a Motion to Modify Confirmed Plan was uploaded

on behalf of Ms. Estes. (ECF 46). The purpose of the modification was to provide for

the IRS proof of claim amended postpetition. Otherwise, there was no substantive

change to the treatment of payment to Ditech per POC 4-1. According to the certificate

Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 10 of 25

of service (ECF 46-4), Ditech was notified at the Green Tree address and Zientz was

notified at the Zientz address and email address.

38. On December 9, 2015 the Trustee uploaded the Notice of Schedule of

Home Mortgage Payments that itemized payments made to Green Tree during the

period beginning December 2014 and ending November 2015. (ECF 51). According to

the certificate of service, Ditech was notified at the Green Tree payment address on

POC 4-1. According to the BNC certificate of notice dated December 11, 2015 both

Zientz and Schneider were sent notice through the Court's CM/ECF electronic mail

system. (ECF 52).

39. On December 14, 2015 the Notice of Trustee's Intent to Pay Claims was

uploaded in the main case. (ECF 54). This notice provisioned for the conduit

payments, arrearage and supplemental claim of Green Tree, now Ditech. It was served

on Green Tree in care of Zientz and also provided to Zientz at the Zientz email address.

40. On December 29, 2015 an Assignment of Deed of Trust was E-Filed in the

Montgomery County, Texas property records listing Everbank as the assignor and

Ditech as the assignee, Document No. 2015125014.

41. On January 14, 2016 the Court issued its Order Confirming Chapter 13

Plan Modification and Valuing Collateral Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 506. (ECF 55).

According to the BNC certificate of notice uploaded on January 16, 2016 (ECF 56), the

Order was provided twice to Green Tree (now Ditech) electronically at

bankruptcy.bnc@gt-cs.com Jan 14 2016 21:03:03, and was sent to Zientz and

Schneider via the court's CM/ECF electronic mail system.

Page 10 of 25
Original Complaint
Estes vs. Shellpoint

Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 11 of 25

42. On November 2, 2016 John J. Rafferty with the law firm of Buckley

Madole, P.C., uploaded a Notice of Mortgage Payment Change on behalf of Ditech.

(ECF 57). This notice advised that the escrow account on Ms. Estes' home would be

decreased from \$441.35 to \$360.19 and, effective on December 1, 2016 the conduit

payment would be \$1,260.75.

43. On December 9, 2016 the Trustee uploaded the Notice of Schedule of

Home Mortgage Payments in the main case which itemized payments made to Green

Tree during the period beginning December 2015 and ending November 2016.

According to the certificate of service attached, this notice was sent to Green Tree

(Ditech) at its payment address as contained on POC 4-1. (ECF 58). Notice was not

provided to John Rafferty or Buckley Madole because it was not requested. This notice

was also sent to Zientz and Schneider through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail

system. (ECF 59).

44. On January 9, 2017 the Trustee uploaded his Notice of Adjustment to Plan

Payment in the main case. (ECF 60). Therein it notified a decrease in Ms. Estes' plan

payment by \$85.00, to a payment of \$1,915.00. On information and belief this Notice

was electronically sent to Zientz and Schneider.

45. On March 6, 2017 Zientz filed a new Notice of Appearance in regard to

Ditech. (ECF 64). He again provided the Zientz address and email address for notice

purposes.

46. On November 3, 2017 Evan S. Singer of the Padgett Law Group filed a

Notice of Mortgage Payment Change on behalf of Ditech. (ECF 65). This notice

advised of an increase in Ms. Estes' escrow account from \$360.19 to \$374.99 and that

effective December 1, 2017 the new payment of principal, interest and escrow would be

\$1,275.55.

47. On December 6, 2017 the Trustee uploaded a Notice of Schedule of

Home Mortgage Payments in the main case which itemized payments made to Green

Tree during the period beginning December 2016 and ending November 2017. (ECF

67). According to the BNC certificate of service it was sent to Green Tree (now Ditech)

at the payment address on POC 4-1 and electronically sent to Zientz and Schneider. A

copy was not sent to Evan S. Singer of the Padget Law Group as such notice was never

requested.

48. On December 12, 2017 the Trustee filed his Notice of Adjustment to Plan

Payment. (ECF 69). This notice advised of a \$16.00 increase to make Ms. Estes' plan

payment \$2,131.00 effective December 1, 2017. On information and belief Zientz and

Schneider both were sent electronic notice via the Court's CM/ECF system.

49. On November 5, 2018 Ditech filed a Notice of Mortgage Payment Change.

(ECF 73). This notice advised that due to an escrow change Ms. Estes' escrow

payment would be lowered from \$374.99 to \$353.77 and that effective December 1,

2018 Ms. Estes' conduit payment would be \$1,254.33.

50. On November 21, 2018 the Trustee filed his Notice of Adjustment to Plan

Payment which advised that Ms. Estes' plan payment would decrease by \$22,00 and

effective on December 1, 2018 Ms. Estes' total payment would be \$2,359.00. (ECF 75).

Page 12 of 25 Original Complaint Estes vs. Shellpoint Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 13 of 25

On information and belief Zientz and Schneider both were sent notice via the Court's

CM/ECF system.

51. December 5, 2018 the Trustee uploaded his Notice of Schedule of Home

Mortgage Payments in the main case which itemized payment made to Green Tree

during the period beginning December 2017 and ending November 2018. (ECF 79).

This notice contained the following clear warning: UNLESS AN OBJECTION IS FILED

IN WRITING WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN 21 DAYS OF THE DATE

ON WHICH THIS DOCUMENT WAS FILED AND SERVED, THE NOTICE OF

SCHEDULE HOME MORTGAGE PAYMENTS WILL BE FINAL AND BINDING ON ALL

PARTIES WITHOUT A HEARING AND WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE. (Emphasis

exact). A copy of this notice was sent to Green Tree (now Ditech) at the payment

address on POC 4-1 and, Zientz and Schneider both were sent notice via the Court's

CM/ECF system.

52. On February 11, 2019 Ditech filed Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the United

States Bankruptcy Court in the Southern District of New York, Manhattan Division, Case

No. 19-10412. ("Ditech Bankruptcy Court"). The United States Bankruptcy Court for

the Southern District of Texas was not informed of Ditech's bankruptcy in regard to Ms.

Estes or her mortgage.

53. On or about June 18, 2019 Shellpoint entered into an Asset Purchase

Agreement ("APA") with Ditech to purchase substantially all of the forward assets of

Ditech, subject to approval by the Ditech Bankruptcy Court.

Page 13 of 25
Original Complaint
Estes vs. Shellpoint

54. On August 28, 2019 Jessica Holt ("Holt") of the law firm Mackie Wolf Zientz & Mann, P.C., on behalf of Ditech, filed a Notice of Mortgage Payment Change in the main case. (ECF 81). This notice advised that due to an escrow change Ms. Estes' escrow payment would be lowered from \$353.77 to \$303.33 and that effective October

1, 2019 Ms. Estes' payment of principal, interest and escrow would be \$1,203.89.

55. On September 6, 2019 the Trustee filed his Notice of Final Cure Payment and Motion to Deem Mortgage Current. (ECF 82). It directed Ms. Estes to resume making payments directly to Green Tree (now Ditech) in the amount of \$1,203.89 to the payment address as contained in POC 4-1 beginning October 1, 2019. Notice was

56. On or about September 26, 2019 the Ditech Bankruptcy Court approved Shellpoint's Asset Purchase Agreement with Ditech, which included the Mortgage servicing Rights ("MSR") concerning Ms. Estes' home mortgage.

provided to the Zientz address and email address.

57. On September 26, 2019 Ms. Estes sent money orders totaling \$1,203.89 to Ditech for her October 1, 2019 mortgage payment.

58. On September 27, 2019 Shelly K. Terrill with RAS Crane, LLC filed a Response to Notice of Final Cure on behalf of Ditech. (ECF 84). Therein, Ditech agreed that the full amount to cure the prepetition default had been paid and that Ms. Estes was current with all postpetition payments consistent with § 1322(b)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code, including all fees, charges, expenses, escrow and costs. Ditech averred that Ms. Estes' next postpetition payment was due on 10/1/2019.

59. On October 2, 2019 the Court entered its Order Deeming the Mortgage

Current. (ECF 85). Therein the Court ruled: "The claims of the above-listed creditor(s)

are deemed current as of 9/30/2019. All escrow deficiencies, if any, are deemed cured

and the escrow amount is deemed properly funded as of the date of this order. All legal

fees, inspection fees and other charges imposed by the creditor, if any, are deemed

satisfied in full. The creditor shall be solely responsible for any shortfall or failure to

respond to the Trustee's notice and motion". According to the BNC certificate of notice

filed on October 4, 2019, the Order was sent to Green Tree, Ditech, Schneider, Holt,

Zientz and Terrill (of RAS Crane).

60. On October 7, 2019 Ditech dated a mortgage statement addressed to Ms.

Estes' home in which it claimed a past unpaid balance of \$3,712.55 and a total payment

amount of \$4,916.44. This statement reflected a current balance of \$290.50 as

pre-petition arrearages but stated "The Payment Amount does not include any amount

that was past due before you filed for bankruptcy". The payment due date for the total

payment amount of \$4,916.44 was November 1, 2019.

61. On October 30, 2019 the Trustee uploaded his Notice of Plan Completion

and Eligibility for Discharge Under the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer

Protection Act of 2005 in the main case. (ECF 87). Pursuant to the BNC certificate of

notice uploaded on November 1, 2019 this Notice was sent to Schneider, Holt, Zientz

and Terrill via the Court's CM/ECF electronic mail system. (ECF 90).

62. On October 30, 2019 Ms. Estes paid her November 1, 2019 mortgage

payment in the amount of \$1,203.89.

Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 16 of 25

63. On November 22, 2019 Ms. Estes uploaded her Certification and Motion

for Discharge. (ECF 93). The certificate was provided to Schneider, Holt, Zientz and

Terrill via the Court's CM/ECF electronic mail system.

64. On November 26, 2019 Ms. Estes paid her December 1, 2019 mortgage

payment by ACH transaction to Ditech in the amount of \$1,203.89.

65. On December 16, 2019 the Court issued its Order Discharging Debtor.

(ECF 95). Therein, it admonished: "Creditors cannot collect discharged debts This

order means that no one may make any attempt to collect a discharged debt from the

debtors personally. For example, creditors cannot sue, garnish wages, assert a

deficiency, or otherwise try to collect from the debtors personally on discharged debts.

Creditors cannot contact the debtors by mail, phone, or otherwise in any attempt to

collect the debt personally. Creditors who violate this order can be required to pay

debtors damages and attorney's fees." According to the BNC certificate of notice

uploaded on December 18, 2019 the Order was sent to Ditech, Green Tree, Schneider,

Holt, Zientz, & Terrill. (ECF 96).

66. On December 16, 2019 Ditech dated a mortgage statement addressed to

Ms. Estes at her home in which it claimed a past unpaid balance of \$3,611.67 and

demanded a total payment amount of \$4,815.56. This statement reflected a current

balance of \$290.50 as pre-petition arrearages but stated "The Payment Amount does"

not include any amount that was past due before you filed for bankruptcy". The

payment due date for the total payment amount of \$4,815.56 was January 1, 2020.

Page 16 of 25 Original Complaint Estes vs. Shellpoint

- 67. On December 31, 2019 Ms. Estes paid her January 1, 2020 mortgage payment in the amount of \$1,203.89 by ACH transaction.
- 68. On January 16, 2020 Ditech dated a mortgage statement addressed to Ms. Estes at her home address in which it claimed a past due amount of \$1,203.89 and demanded a payment of \$2,455.94 on February 1, 2020. This statement also notified Ms. Estes that a "late charge asmt" of \$48.16 was added on 01/16/2020.
- 69. On January 31, 2020 Ms. Estes paid her February 1, 2020 mortgage payment in the amount of \$1,203.89 by ACH transaction.
- 70. On February 14, 2020 the Trustee issued his Final Report and Account. (ECF 99). Among other things, this report disclosed the payments made to Green Tree including: \$4,006.00 for prepetition mortgage arrearage pursuant to POC 4-1, \$625.00 pursuant to the Notice of Postpetition Mortgage Fees, Expenses and Charges under Rule 3002.1(c) (ECF 37) and \$76,936.36 in ongoing or conduit mortgage payments.
- 71. On February 14, 2020 Ditech prepared a Notice of Servicing Transfer from Ditech to Shellpoint effective March 1, 2020. It states that Ditech will stop accepting mortgage payments on February 29, 2020. It states Shellpoint will collect the mortgage payments beginning on March 1, 2020.
- 72. On February 18, 2020 Ditech, on behalf of Shellpoint, mailed a statement to Ms. Estes in which it claimed an unpaid balance of \$1,252.05 and demanded a payment of \$2,455.94. It demanded the payment from Ms. Estes of \$2,455.94 no later than March 1, 2020 to Shellpoint.

Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 18 of 25

73. On February 24, 2020 Ditech sent a Notice of Past Due Amount to Ms.

Estes. It stated that she was past due \$1,252.05, including a \$48.16 late charge.

74. On March 16, 2020, having received no communication directly from

Shellpoint, Ms. Estes took it upon herself to go online and claimed her account with

Shellpoint. Her account with Shellpoint stated that she owed \$2,407.78, including

amounts that she was behind. She paid Shellpoint her March 1, 2020 payment of

\$1,203.89.

75. On March 26, 2020 Ms. Estes was notified by Credit Karma that Ditech

had added a missed payment on her credit report.

76. On April 1, 2020 Ms. Estes went back online with Shellpoint to pay her

April 1, 2020 mortgage payment. However, this time the computer system refused to

allow her to make this payment because it was considered to be a partial payment. By

this, she was not allowed to pay her \$1,203.89 payment without paying the arrearages

Shellpoint was now claiming as being owed. Later, Ms. Estes was able to authorize the

payment of \$1,203.89 over the telephone with Shellpoint, but Shellpoint still showed a

larger amount owed due to a supposed mortgage arrearage.

77. As a result of the direct attempts by Shellpoint, as the successor in

interest to Ditech, to collect amounts predating the deem current date ordered by the

court, Ms. Estes has suffered much frustration, anxiety and mental anguish or distress

that is more than fleeting and inconsequential. This has manifested itself with Ms. Estes

in a number of manners, including but not limited to violation of the core bankruptcy

rights; headaches; loss of sleep; anxiety; shock of conscience; impaired enjoyment of

life; a sense of dread; a sense of failure; a lack or diminution of self-worth; a significant

amount of stress; the feeling of harassment; distraction; fear of loss of her home or loss

of money to save her home, especially during a pandemic; inability to concentrate

adequately on work, family duties and obligations; and/or sense of embarrassment and

discomfort that is greater than the general level of embarrassment and discomfort felt in

filing bankruptcy or for the inability to pay a debt or bill.

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE CONFIRMED PLAN

78. The confirmed Plan of Ms. Estes constituted, and constitutes, a new

contract between Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to Ms. Estes mortgage

account, and Ms. Estes. See generally, In re Stratford of Tex., Inc., 635 F.2d 365, 368

(5th Cir. 1981); *In re Dow Corning, Corp.*, 456 F.3d 668, 676 (6th Cir. 2006) ("the plan is

effectively a new contract between the debtor and its creditors") (citing Hillis Motors, Inc.

v. Haw. Auto. Dealers' Ass'n, 997 F.2d 581, 588 (9th Cir. 1993); and, In re Padilla, 379

B.R. 643 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2007), among others.

79. The willful violation of the confirmed plan is compensable pursuant to Tex.

Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 38.001 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code

and/or 11 U.S.C. § 105.

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE DISCHARGE INJUNCTION

80. The discharge of the bankruptcy of Ms. Estes created an injunction

prohibiting the collection of any satisfied debt or claim (or portion of any debt or claim)

from Ms Estes personally or individually pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 524(a)(2) and/or (3) for

which no exception exists pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 524(b).

Page 19 of 25 Original Complaint Estes vs. Shellpoint 81. The willful violation of the Discharge Injunction is compensable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105.

WILLFUL FAILURE TO CREDIT PAYMENTS RECEIVED UNDER A CONFIRMED PLAN

- 82. 11 U.S.C. § 524(i) provides for a private cause of action for the willful failure of Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to Ditech on Ms. Estes' mortgage account, to credit payments in accordance with the confirmed plan in the main case.
- 83. The willful failure to credit payments received under a confirmed plan is compensable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 524(i) and/or 105.

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE DEEM CURRENT ORDER

- 84. The Court entered an order in the main case deeming Ms. Estes current in their mortgage payment pursuant F. R. Bankr. P. 3002.1(h).
- 85. The willful violation of the Court's deem current order is compensable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105 and contempt, if not as an adjunct to 11 U.S.C. § 524(i).

ENFORCEMENT OF THE ORDER, INJUNCTIONS AND/OR RULES

86. The facts averred herein substantiate that Ms. Estes' has complied with the requirements of the main case and completed her confirmed plan. Further, there is evidence that she resumed making direct payments on her home mortgage pursuant to the deem current order. She seeks declaratory relief from her mortgage account is current with all payments. Further, she requests that Defendant be required to report to any and all credit reporting companies that her mortgage account is current with no late payments due.

87. Due to the conduct or action of Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to

Ditech regarding Ms. Estes' mortgage account, as described, it may become necessary

for this Court to take action to enforce the injunctions, orders, Bankruptcy Code

provisions and rules resulting from main case, including, but not limited to:

a. Finding Shellpoint in contempt for failing to abide by the orders,

injunctions, Bankruptcy Code provisions and rules pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §

105;

b. Issuing any declaratory judgment to determine the threshold questions of

law, facts, rights, claims, or debts of all parties to this adversary

proceeding, the violations of Shellpoint, the jurisdiction of this Court and/or

any actual controversy that may exist pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201;

and/or

c. Issuing any further or more specific injunctions to better define or curtail

the acts or conduct of Shellpoint in the future pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105.

AWARD OF ACTUAL DAMAGES

88. An award of actual damages is required to cover the value of any loss

including, but not limited to, any out-of-pocket expenses or cost; personal time of Ms.

Estes in participating and cooperating in this adversary proceeding through trial and any

appeal; and, the manifestations suffered as described in the Factual Background above

which has resulted from the mental anguish of Ms. Estes.

89. As an award of actual damages, it was necessary to employ Charles

(Chuck) Newton and the lawyers of the law firm, Charles Newton and Associates, to

represent Ms. Estes in advising, preparing and participating in this adversary

proceeding. Further, Sheila Deason, Ms. Estes bankruptcy attorney, has expended

time, fees, costs and expenses in regard to the specific matters as stated above, as well

as assisting Charles Newton & Associates in its duties in this regard.

AWARD OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES

90. An award of punitive damages is requested by Ms. Estes pursuant to the

United States Supreme Court standard for properly imposing same. First, Shellpoint, as

the successor in interest to Ditech, received fair notice of the possibility of an award of

punitive damages. Second, as evidenced by the main case, Ms. Estes' financial

vulnerability is evident. Third, the harm or injury inflicted on Ms. Estes is more than

economic in nature. Fourth, if the conduct or actions of Shellpoint, as the successor to

Ditech, had been allowed to succeed, the fresh start of Ms. Estes would have further

been imperiled. Fifth, the injury in this case is hard to detect; and/or the monetary value

of noneconomic harm is difficult to determine. See, BMW of North America, Inc. v.

Gore, 517 U.S. 559 (1996).

AWARD OF INTEREST

91. Any award of damages should contain an award of prejudgment interest.

92. Any judgment issued by this Court should allow for the accrual of interest

for any unpaid balance at the rate for federal judgments, as based on the average

prices of U.S. Government Securities per 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, it is the prayer of Ms. Estes that the

Court will:

Page 22 of 25
Original Complaint
Estes vs. Shellpoint

Case 20-03128 Document 1 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/20 Page 23 of 25

1. Require Shellpoint to correct Ms. Estes' mortgage account to show her

current in her payments, and to report the same to any and all credit reporting

companies;

2. Find that Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to Ditech, violated the

provisions of the confirmed Plan and such violation was willful and/or intentional;

3. Find that Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to Ms. Estes to Ditech,

violated the provisions of the order of discharge issued by this bankruptcy and such

violation was or is willful and/or intentional;

4. Find that Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to Ditech, violated the

deem current order entered and such violation was or is willful and/or intentional;

5. Enforce the orders, rules and injunctions of this Court or the Bankruptcy

Code or Rules as necessary, including, but not limited to, a finding of contempt on the

part of Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to Ms. Estes mortgage account, the

issuance of any declaratory judgment, and/or the issuance of any injunction;

6. Sanction or award against Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to

Ditech, all actual damages for all financial and non-financial harm or injury incurred by

Ms. Estes;

7. Sanction or award against Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to

Ditech, and to Ms. Estes, and for the benefit of Chuck Newton, all of the attorneys' fees,

costs and expenses incurred with Chuck Newton, and the law firm of in representing her

in these matters;

Page 23 of 25
Original Complaint
Estes vs. Shellpoint

8. Sanction or award against Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to

Ditech, and to Ms. Estes, and for the benefit of Sheila Deason, all of the attorneys' fees,

costs and expenses incurred by the law firm in representing her in the matters particular

to this adversary proceeding;

9. Sanction or award against Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to

Ditech, and to Ms. Estes emotional distress damages;

10. Sanction or award against Shellpoint, as the successor in interest to

Ditech, and to Ms. Estes punitive damages;

11. Award prejudgment interest;

12. Award postjudgment interest; and

13. Grant any and all other relief in equity or in law to which Ms. Estes may be

entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES NEWTON & ASSOCIATES

NEWTONS.LAW

Attorneys for Sheryll D. Estes in the adversary proceeding 190 N. Millport Circle The Woodlands TX 77382 Phone (281) 681-1170, Ext. 101

Fax (281) 901-5631

CHARLES (CHUCK) NEWTON

chuck@newtons.law Texas Bar No. 14976250 SDTX Bar No. 27900 **JANE NEWTON**

jane@newtons.law Texas Bar No. 14977700 SDTX Bar No. 27901 Phone (281) 681-1170, Ext. 102

PATRICIA (PJ) NEWTON

pj@newtons.law Texas Bar No. 24099751 SDTX Bar No. 3137542 Phone (281) 681-1170, Ext. 103

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Complaint was served on the following parties, not otherwise served by summons, via electronic means, if possible, otherwise by the means stated below:

Sheryll D. Estes
Debtor / Plaintiff
28702 Little River Dr
Spring, TX 77386

MAIL (No) FAX (No) EMAIL (Yes)

Sheila K Deason Sheila Deason Law Firm Atty. for Debtor 4606 Cypress Creek Pkwy Ste 400 Houston, TX 77069

MAIL (No)
FAX (No)
EMAIL (deason@1stco

EMAIL (deason@1stcounsel.com)

DATED: May 12, 2020.

M

CHARLES (CHUCK) NEWTON